



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

•		and the second of the second					
APPLICATION NO.			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/542,048 03/31/2000		Christopher Britton Gould	RP9-99-086 4049				
25299	7590	07/19/2004		EXAMINER			
IBM CORI	PORATIO	ON	STULBERGER, CAS P				
PO BOX 12	195						
DEPT 9CCA	A, BLDG	002	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
RESEARCH	I TRIANO	GLE PARK, NC 27	2132	0			
		,		DATE MAIL ED. 07/10/200	, 8		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



					1			
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	1~			
		09/542,04	В	GOULD ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Cas Stulbe		2132				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati r Reply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	S			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ation. ys, a reply within the statu y period will apply and will by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>06 May 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is no	n-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
• —	on Papers	and of olocion re	Adamonno.					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2000 is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	s/are: a) accept to the drawing(s) b correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1				
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	te the attached Oπice	Action of form P1O-1	52.			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a cknowledgment is made of a claim for dince a specific reference was included in 7 CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign languate acknowledgment is made of a claim for deference was included in the first sentence.	cuments have been cuments have been the priority docume Bureau (PCT Rule or a list of the certiformestic priority urthe first sentence age provisional appropriate the priority urthe street priority urther street priori	n received. In received in Applications have been received in 17.2(a)). The copies not received in 17.2(a). The copies not received in 17.2(a) in 19.0(a) in 19.0(b)	on No ed in this National Staged. e) (to a provisional appring an Application Databete) ceived. and/or 121 since a sp	olication) a Sheet. pecific			
Attachmen								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152				

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: application, filed 3/31/2000; amendment filed 5/06/2004.

2. Claims 1-13 are pending in the case. Claims 1 and 7 are independent claims.

Response to Amendment

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 5/06/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.
- 5. In view of the rejections and response to arguments above, the prior art rejections are maintained. The grounds of rejection as set forth in the previous office action is reproduced below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2132

7. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,202,151 B1 to Musgrave et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,167,517 to Gilchrist.

In regards to claim 1, 6-8, and 13, Musgrave discloses a biometric certificate which may be generated by concatenating transaction data, a public key, and the set of data, including the biometric data (Musgrave: column 4, lines 53-55). This meets the limitation of "capturing biometric information of a user; encrypting using server public key." The authenticating certificate is then hashed to generate a hashed value. The hashed value is then sent to a registration authority having a biometric certificate generated where the hashed value is then signed; that is, encrypted, using the private key of the user to generate a digital signature incorporating the biometric data. The digital signature is then appended to the transaction data (Musgrave: Column 5, lines 15-35). This meets the limitation of "signing the biometric information with a client private key." After receiving the electronic transaction from the network a receiver decrypts the transaction using it's private key, de-hashes the decrypted transaction and extracts the biometric certificate. The receiver then sends the biometric certificate to the biometric certificate management system (BCMS) for authentication (Musgrave: column 5, lines 36-47). This meets the limitation of "sending the encrypted and signed data to a secure server in the network; accepting and verifying credentials associated with the signed and encrypted data from the server utilizing the public key from the central server."

Musgrave discloses that "since private keys are physically stored on computers and/or electronic storage devices, such private keys are not physically related to the entities associated with the private keys. For example, a private (Musgrave: column 2, lines 40-43).." Since the private key is stored on computers and are physically realted to the devices on which they are

Art Unit: 2132

stored on it would be possible to tell from which device a signature using a private key came. Musgrave discloses that "private keys are not limited to actual human indifviuals (Musgrave: column 2, line 46)." This is interpreted as meanting the private keys can be associated with devices on which they are stored. This meets the limitation of "private key of the computer system." Musgrave also discloses that "after receiving the electronic transaction from the network, a receiver decrypts the electronic tranaction using its private key" (Musgrave: column 5, lines 36-38). This meets the limitation of "encrypting the biometric information using a secure server's public key" since in assymetric cryptography if you decrypt a message with a private key the message must have been encrypted with a the corresponding public key.

However Musgrave does not disclose "installing the credentials into the computer if the credentials are verified."

Gilchrist discloses the biometric template is stored locally on the client system and discloses adding new templates to the system (Gilchrist: column 1, lines 51-65). This meets the limitation of "installing the credentials into the computer if the credentials are verified."

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the method of authentication using a biometric authenticating certificate as disclosed by Musgrave with the method of storing the biometric template locally as disclosed by Gilchrist in order to guard against a malicious user who substitutes another template to gain unauthorized access to the host system (Gilchrist: abstract).

In regards to claim 2 and 9, Musgrave discloses the biometric information comprises fingerprint information (Musgrave: column 4, lines 30-32)

Art Unit: 2132

In regards to claim 3 and 10, Musgrave discloses the biometric information comprises retinal information (Musgrave: column 4, lines 30-32)

In regards to claim 4 and 11, Musgrave discloses the biometric information comprises voice information (Musgrave: column 2, lines 47-58)

In regards to claim 5 and 12, Musgrave discloses the biometric information comprises handwritting information (Musgrave: column 4, lines 30-32)

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2132

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cas Stulberger whose telephone number is (703) 305-8034None. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00A.M. - 5:00P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (703) 305-1830. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications, (703) 746-7240 for drafts, and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

CS July 13, 2004

> JUSTINT DARROW JUSTINT DARROW FRIMARY EXAMINER